

20. Jörn us L, Jemt T, Carlsson L. Loads and designs of screw joints for single crowns supported by osseointegrated implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1992;7:353–359.
21. Kano SC, Binon PP, Curtis DA. A classification system to measure the implant-abutment microgap. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2007;22:879–885.
22. Kano SC, Binon PP, Bonfante G, Curtis DA. The effect of casting procedures on rotational misfit in castable abutments. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2007;22:575–579.
23. Binon PP. Implants and components: Entering the new millennium. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2000;15:76–94.
24. Binon PP. Evaluation of three slip fit hexagonal implants. *Implant Dent* 1996;5:235–248.
25. Binon PP. The effect of implant/abutment hexagonal misfit on screw joint stability. *Int J Prosthodont* 1996;9:149–160.
26. Binon PP, McHugh MJ. The effect of eliminating implant/abutment rotational misfit on screw joint stability. *Int J Prosthodont* 1996;9:511–519.
27. Kano SC, Binon P, Bonfante G, Curtis DA. Effect of casting procedures on screw loosening in UCLA-type abutments. *J Prosthodont* 2006;15:77–81.
28. Ricciardi Copped  A, de Mattos Mda G, Rodrigues RC, Ribeiro RF. Effect of repeated torque/mechanical loading cycles on two different abutment types in implants with internal tapered connections: An in vitro study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009;20:624–632.
29. Weiss EI, Kozak D, Gross MD. Effect of repeated closures on opening torque values in seven abutment-implant systems. *J Prosthet Dent* 2000;84:194–199.
30. Barbosa GA, Bernardes SR, das Neves FD, Fernandes Neto AJ, de Mattos Mda G, Ribeiro RF. Relation between implant/abutment vertical misfit and torque loss of abutment screws. *Braz Dent J* 2008;19:358–363.
31. Kerstein RB, Radke J. A comparison of fabrication precision and mechanical reliability of 2 zirconia implant abutments. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2008;23:1029–1036.
32. Garine WN, Funkenbusch PD, Ercoli C, Wodenschek J, Murphy WC. Measurement of the rotational misfit and implant-abutment gap of all-ceramic abutments. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2007;22:928–938.
33. Sahin S, Cehreli MC. The significance of passive framework fit in implant prosthodontics: Current status. *Implant Dent* 2001;10:85–92.
34. Lie A, Jemt T. Photogrammetric measurements of implant positions. Description of a technique to determine the fit between implants and superstructures. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1994;5:30–36.
35. Sorensen JA. A standardized method for determination of crown margin fidelity. *J Prosthet Dent* 1990;64:18–24.
36. de Moraes Alves da Cunha T, de Ara jo RP, da Rocha PV, Amoedo RM. Comparison of fit accuracy between Procera custom abutments and three implant systems. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2012;14:890–895.
37. Att W, Hoischen T, Gerds T, Strub JR. Marginal adaptation of all-ceramic crowns on implant abutments. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2008;10:218–225.
38. Reich S, Wichmann M, Nkenke E, Proeschel P. Clinical fit of all-ceramic three-unit fixed partial dentures, generated with three different CAD/CAM systems. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2005;113:174–179.
39. Hjerpe J, Lassila LV, Rakkolainen T, Narhi T, Vallittu PK. Load-bearing capacity of custom-made versus prefabricated commercially available zirconia abutments. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2011;26:132–138.
40. Park JI, Lee Y, Lee JH, Kim YL, Bae JM, Cho HW. Comparison of fracture resistance and fit accuracy of customized zirconia abutments with prefabricated zirconia abutments in internal hexagonal implants. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res* 2012 Jan 11 [epub ahead of print].

**ERRATUM**

It has been brought to the publisher’s attention that the name of one the authors of the article “An In Vitro Pilot Study of Abutment Stability During Loading in New and Fatigue-Loaded Conical Dental Implants Using Synchrotron-Based Radiography,” which appeared in the January/February 2013 issue of JOMI, was not listed correctly. The first author of the article should be listed as Tatjana Rack, MS. Therefore, the complete list of authors is Tatjana Rack, MS/Simon Zabler, PhD/Alexander Rack, PhD/Heinrich Riesemeier, PhD/Katja Nelson, PhD, DDS. Additionally, the data for Bone Level implants at the AF and BF positions under 30 N, 90 degree and 100 N, 90 degree loading in Table 2 was not presented correctly, and the corrected version appears below. The electronic version of the article has been corrected accordingly. The publisher regrets the error.

**Table 2 Size of Microgap Under Different Mechanical Loading Conditions**

Load type	Implant system											
	Ankylos c/x				Ankylos Plus				Bone level			
	A	B	AF	BF	A	B	AF	BF	A	B	AF	BF
<b>0 N</b>												
Virgin	11	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3
Fatigue loaded	4	0.2	0.7	0.1	31	1	5	3	0.8	0.2	0.7	0.7
<b>30 N, 90 degree</b>												
Virgin	0.2	0.9	12	0.1	0.6	1.2	3	0.2				
Fatigue loaded	0.5	0.7	12	0.2	1	12	32	0.3			1.8	1.7
<b>100 N, 90 degree</b>												
Virgin	0.5	4.6	28	0.1	3	10	18.5	0.1				
Fatigue loaded	1.2	2.5	24	0.2	0	30	36	0			18	13
<b>200 N, 30 degree</b>												
Virgin	0.1	0.7	24	0.1	0.1	4	9	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.6
Fatigue loaded	0.1	3	22	0.1	–	–	25	0.1	0.3	0.1	1	1

Values for the virgin IAC assemblies are reported in Rack et al.<sup>25</sup>